

SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1





			Audit	Details			
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex System) ZC:		ZC: ZC	ZC403661075 Sedex Site Reference: (only available on Sedex System)		ZS: ZS	403661299	
Business Name (Company nam		KLODE	NNEX				
Site name:		Kloden	nex				
Site address: (Please include full a	address)	133/111-112, Transport Nagar, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh India 208023		Country/Region		India	
Site contact and title:	d job	Mr. Pri	yam Asthana, Pr	ор			
Site phone:		+91 8090050410		Site e-mail:		priyam@klodennex.c om	
SMETA Audit Type:		✓ Labour ✓ Health & ☐ Environment ☐ Business Standards Safety (plus 4-pillar Ethics Environment 2-Pillar)					☐ Business Ethics
Final Date of Au	udit:	6/5/2020					
Audit Company DQS		/ Name India	& Logo:	Report Owner (payer): (If paid for by the customer of the site pleater remove for Sedex upload) KLODENNEX			f the site please
Audit Conducted By							
Affiliate Audit Company	✓	7	Purchaser		Retailer		
Brand Owner			NGO		Trade U	nion	
Multi- stakeholder			Combined Audit (select all that apply)				

Audit DQS India Report ZAA410804936 Date: 6/5/2020 Sedexglobal.com Company: Reference:



Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.0 April 2017 was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - · Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.



SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- 1. Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- 2. Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size): KAUSHIK LAHA

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers):

Lead auditor:	KAUSHIK LAHA	APSCA number:	RA21701571	
Lead auditor APSCA status:	Registered Auditor (RA)			
Team auditor:		APSCA number:		
Interviewers:	KAUSHIK LAHA	APSCA number:	RA21701571	
Report writer:	KAUSHIK LAHA			
Report reviewer:	Rachel Berkelmans			
Date of declaration:	6/5/2020			

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not guarantee that the audited site prior, during or post–audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties



Audit Parameters

Audit Parameters					
A: Time in and time out	Day 1 Time in: 0930 Day 1 Time out: 1830 Day 4 Time in: Day 4 Time out:	Day 2 Time in: Day 2 Time out: Day 5 Time in: Day 5 Time out:	Day 3 Time in: Day 3 Time out: Day 6 Time in: Day 6 Time out:		
B: Number of auditor days used:	1				
C: Audit type:	☐ Full Initial ☑ Periodic ☐ Full Follow-up ☐ Partial Follow-Up ☐ Partial Other Fol If other, please defi	low-Up			
D: Was the audit announced?	☐ Announced ☐ Semi – announced ☑ Unannounced	ed: Window detail:	weeks		
E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	Yes No N/A If No, why not:				
F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings? If Yes, please capture detail in appropriate audit by clause	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ N/A				
G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name & Job title)	Ms. Priyam Asthana	Prop			
H: Is further information available (If yes, please contact audit company for details)	☐ Yes ☑ No				
I: Previous audit date:	28/3/2019		_		
J: Previous audit type:					
K: Were any previous audit	✓ Yes				



reviewed for this audit	□ No					
Audit Attendance	Managen	nent	Worker R	epresenta	tives	
	Senior Managen	nent	Worker Committe Represen		Union Represen	tatives
A: Present at the opening meeting?	✓ Yes	□No	✓ Yes	□No	☐ Yes	✓ No
B: Present at the audit?	✓ Yes	□No	✓ Yes	□No	☐ Yes	✓ No
C: Present at the closing meeting?	✓ Yes	□No	✓ Yes	□No	☐ Yes	✓ No
D: If Worker Representatives were not present please explain reasons why (only complete if no worker reps present)						
E: If Union Representatives were not present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present)	There are	e no Trade	Union			

Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to re-record actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Note: it is not mandatory to complete this column at this time.



Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause''.

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexglobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).

Audit DQS India Report ZAA410804936 Date: 6/5/2020 Sedexglobal.com 7
Company: Reference:



Corrective Action Plan

	Corrective Action Plan - non-compliances								
Non- Compliance Number The reference number of the non-compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non- compliance identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non- Compliance Details of Non- Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non-compliance, and the system change to prevent reoccurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, 365, Other)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person Note if management agree to the non-compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/ Closed or comment
0B: Management system and Code Implementatio n	New	The training on ETI given to workers are not effective	The workers could not explain the same properly as it was unannounced audit and there were lockdown and the factory opened only on 4th of May 2020	The workers will be retrained on ETI	30 days	Desktop	Mr. Priyam Asthana, Prop		Open
0B: Management system and Code Implementatio	New	The SQA was not completed at the time of audit	Due to lockdown and the factory opening on 4.5.2020 and	The SQA will be completed	30 days	Desktop	Mr. Priyam Asthana, Prop		Open

Date: 6/5/2020



Non- Compliance Number The reference number of the non-compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non- compliance identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non- Compliance Details of Non- Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non-compliance, and the system change to prevent reoccurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, 365, Other)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person Note if management agree to the non-compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/ Closed or comment
n			the unannounced audit, the SQA was not completed						
3: Safety and Hygienic Conditions	New	The pulley guard was missing in one of the stitching machine	Due to lockdown, not ample care was taken for the machine maintenance	The pulley guard will be installed and the regular checks will be done	30 days	Desktop	Ms. Priyam Asthana Prop		Open
3: Safety and Hygienic Conditions	New	Due to COVID -19, in the assembly area, the social distancing norms were not followed as there were no marking in the assembly area as per the ICMR guidelines.	pointed out, it was noticed and was a	The points will marked in the assembly area as per the guidelines of ICMR of social distancing	30 days	Desktop	Mr. Priyam Asthana		Open

Date: 6/5/2020

Audit DQS India Company:

Report Reference: ZAA410804936

Sedexglobal.com 9



	Corrective Action Plan - Observations					
Observation Number The reference number of the observation from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new observation identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Observation Details of Observation	Root cause (completed by the site)	Any improvement actions discussed (Not uploaded on to SEDEX)		
0A: Universal rights covering UNGP	New	The workers were not aware of the whistle blowing policy	Not trained properly	The whistle blowing policy along with the phone number will be displayed at the strategic places		

Sedexglobal.com 10

Date: 6/5/2020



Corrective Action Plan - Good Examples				
Good example Number The reference number of the non-compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination	Details of good example noted	Any relevant Evidence and Comments		

Date: 6/5/2020 Sedexglobal.com 11



Confirmation

	ing that the above findings have been discinction of the signatory in ap	ussed with and understood by you: (site management) plicable boxes, as indicating the signature.
A: Site Representative Signature:	Mr. Priyam Asthana,	Title: Prop
		Date: 6/5/2020
B: Auditor Signature:	Kaushik Laha	Title: DQS India
		Date: 6/5/2020
C: Please indicate below if you, the	site management, dispute any of the findi	ngs. No need to complete D-E, if no disputes.
D: I dispute the following numbere	d non-compliances:	
E: Signed:		Title:
(If <u>any</u> entry in box D, please complete a signature on this line)		Date: 6/5/2020
F: Any other site Comments:		

Date: 6/5/2020



Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue re-occurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity /procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.





For more information visit: www.sedexglobal.com

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

<u>For Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:</u> http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5Iw_3d_3d

<u>For Supplier (B) members:</u> http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

<u>Click here for Auditors:</u> https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP

Audit Company:

DQS India

Report Reference: ZAA410804936

Date: 6/5/2020 Sedexglobal.com 14